

Communication from Public

Name: Glenn Palmer
Date Submitted: 06/29/2022 04:38 PM
Council File No: 20-1376-S1
Comments for Public Posting: June 21, 2022 Honorable Nury Martinez Los Angeles City Hall
200 N Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 Dear Council
president Martinez On behalf of Vinto LLC, 3852 Vinton Ave.
Los Angeles 90232. I am writing this letter to support the City's
resolution to designate areas around sensitive uses as places where
enforcement can take place against "sitting, lying, sleeping, or
storing, using, maintaining, or placing personal property, or
otherwise obstructing the public right-of-way..." The City of Los
Angeles is home to people from all walks of life and we must
work to ensure the quality of life for everyone is protected.
Creating safe spaces for our communities while also finding long
term healthcare solutions for our unhoused neighbors is critical in
tackling the issue of homelessness. It is important to find a
balance where we can work together to create safe environments
for our families while also getting help for folks who need it the
most. We have to create solutions that enable students and their
families have the ability to safely walk to their schools and
mothers can take walks with their children in strollers on our
sidewalks. The public right-of-way needs to be maintained for
everyone to use and to make sure they are ADA compliant. It is
incredibly important to maintain our public rights-of-way for all
Angelenos, especially around sensitive uses such as schools,
churches, libraries, etc. Around my property we have had
numerous violent incidents with our tenants and staff stemming
from encampments around a school in our neighborhood. There
have been instances where children have been in unsafe situations
while walking to school with their families and our employees
have been violently attacked. We must work to create safe spaces
for everyone on our public rights-of-way which are intended to be
used by everyone. For these reasons, we urge you to adopt the
amendment and resolution to designate areas around sensitive
uses as places where enforcement can take place for the 41.1 8
ordinance. Glenn Palmer Resident Manager Vinto LLC Sincerely,

June 21, 2022

Honorable Nury Martinez
Los Angeles City Hall
200 N Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Council president Martinez

On behalf of Vinto LLC, 3852 Vinton Ave. Los Angeles 90232. I am writing this letter to support the City's resolution to designate areas around sensitive uses as places where enforcement can take place against "sitting, lying, sleeping, or storing, using, maintaining, or placing personal property, or otherwise obstructing the public right-of-way..."

The City of Los Angeles is home to people from all walks of life and we must work to ensure the quality of life for everyone is protected. Creating safe spaces for our communities while also finding long term healthcare solutions for our unhoused neighbors is critical in tackling the issue of homelessness. It is important to find a balance where we can work together to create safe environments for our families while also getting help for folks who need it the most.

We have to create solutions that enable students and their families have the ability to safely walk to their schools and mothers can take walks with their children in strollers on our sidewalks. The public right-of-way needs to be maintained for everyone to use and to make sure they are ADA compliant.

It is incredibly important to maintain our public rights-of-way for all Angelenos, especially around sensitive uses such as schools, churches, libraries, etc. Around my property we have had numerous violent incidents with our tenants and staff stemming from encampments around a school in our neighborhood. There have been instances where children have been in unsafe situations while walking to school with their families and our employees have been violently attacked.

We must work to create safe spaces for everyone on our public rights-of-way which are intended to be used by everyone. For these reasons, we urge you to adopt the amendment and resolution to designate areas around sensitive uses as places where enforcement can take place for the 41.18 ordinance.

Sincerely,

Glenn Palmer
Resident Manager
Vinto LLC



Communication from Public

Name: Peggy Lee Kennedy
Date Submitted: 06/30/2022 07:20 PM
Council File No: 20-1376-S1
Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmembers, I oppose the amendment to expand 41.18 and urge you to vote no. This new 41.18 is not really enforceable, there is no housing or shelter offers required prior to enforcement, people thought to be violating this can definitely be cited and criminalized, and voters want real solutions to homelessness - not costly criminalization. Councilmembers who voted for 41.18 are losing in the primary: - Cedillo: lost his seat - Koretz: losing by 20% - O'Farrell: losing by 9% - KDL: 8% in mayoral race Plus the City Attorney says that signs are not required, which is very concerning. Unhoused people often do not know what day it is due to lack of sleep or disabilities, let along if they are within 500 feet of a school or daycare. Here are more basic issues; - This proposed amendment is the most dramatic expansion of 41.18, creating unhoused exclusion zones across a massive portion of Los Angeles. Despite what council believes, a significant portion of Angelenos oppose criminalization as a tool to address homelessness, knowing that it is expensive, ineffective, and inhumane. - For previous amendments, every address of a 41.18 zone was listed, reviewed and voted upon, and transparent to the public. At this point it's unclear how many neighborhoods and people will be impacted by this, but there are thousands of sites within LA City boundaries. - Mapping and analysis by volunteers estimates at least 2,400 NEW criminalization zones, totalling at least 46 sq. miles. The actual impact of the law is unknown because City Council has not done the work of determining the specific locations. - The proposed mandatory enforcement within 500 feet of schools and daycare centers will have an even greater negative impact on youth and adults experiencing homelessness. - Students and children are greatly impacted by homelessness and housing instability. Schools are an important community resource for supporting unhoused students and young Angelenos at risk of homelessness. This blanket expansion does not take into consideration how this could affect this population. - Since the passage of 41.18 one year ago, unhoused deaths have increased by 25% (source). 41.18 = death. I oppose the amendment to expand 41.18 and urge you to vote no. Peggy Lee Kennedy Venice Justice Committee P.O.Box 2881, Venice CA 90294

Communication from Public

Name: Matt
Date Submitted: 06/30/2022 08:41 PM
Council File No: 20-1376-S1
Comments for Public Posting: Obviously this is cruel, etc, you all are ghouls for even considering this, etc. You're going to do what you want and we're going to replace all of you.

Communication from Public

Name: Christina Dirkes
Date Submitted: 06/30/2022 09:58 PM
Council File No: 20-1376-S1
Comments for Public Posting: I am adamantly opposed to measure 41.18, and any efforts to expand it. I volunteer with NoHo Home Alliance and I have seen firsthand how sweeps displace people, make them lose ID and medications, and lead to people not being able to exist in public spaces. It makes it harder and harder to house them and just moves them around, and traumatizes them, and people die because of losing medications and losing community and help. I implore you to pay attention to research and science and know that this is killing Angelenos and not helping to protect us at all. These people need help, there aren't enough beds available, and the trust between them and housing services is broken every time a sweep comes in. Please do something different this time. Work to END 41.18, not expand it. Thank you, Tina Dirkes, North Hollywood

Communication from Public

Name: Ann English
Date Submitted: 06/30/2022 02:14 PM
Council File No: 20-1376-S1
Comments for Public Posting: When this Council initially passed 41.18 last summer, it did so while claiming that it would be tied to a comprehensive Streets Engagement Strategy. One year later, the strategy remains incomplete and unfunded. In the city of Los Angeles, there are more than a thousand LAUSD sites, over 600 private schools and hundreds of day care centers. Hundreds of newly designated anti-camping locations have sprung up across the city and without any infrastructure to provide outreach, services, and housing, this ordinance will not only continue to criminalize unhoused families - many of whom are students - it will also result in thousands of new locations where, simply the circumstance of houselessness is a crime. On behalf of the Corporation for Supportive Housing, in Los Angeles, I urge the council to reject this ordinance, and heed the call of the city voters who only a few weeks ago, resoundingly rejected the failed policies of homelessness criminalization. We can do better. WE MUST DO BETTER.